BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

4.00PM 28 JANUARY 2010

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

AGENDA

Brighton & Hove City Council

Council Meeting

Title:	**Special Council**
Date:	**28 January 2010**
Time:	**4.00pm**
Venue	**Council Chamber, Hove Town Hall**
Members:	**All Councillors** You are summoned to attend a meeting of the BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY COUNCIL to transact the under-mentioned business.
	Prayers will be conducted in the Council Chamber at 3.50pm by Reverend Stephen Terry.
Contact:	**Mark Wall** Head of Democratic Services 01273 291006 mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk

	**The Town Hall has facilities for wheelchair users, including lifts and toilets**
	**An Induction loop operates to enhance sound for anyone wearing a hearing aid or using a transmitter and infra red hearing aids are available for use during the meeting. If you require any further information or assistance, please contact the receptionist on arrival.**
	FIRE / EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE **If the fire alarm sounds continuously, or if you are instructed to do so, you must leave the building by the nearest available exit. You will be directed to the nearest exit by council staff. It is vital that you follow their instructions:** • **You should proceed calmly; do not run and do not use the lifts;** • **Do not stop to collect personal belongings;** • **Once you are outside, please do not wait immediately next to the building, but move some distance away and await further instructions; and** • **Do not re-enter the building until told that it is safe to do so.**

AGENDA

Part One **Page**

1. STATUTORY OR VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE BY COUNCILLORS OF INTERESTS IN MATTERS APPEARING ON THE AGENDA.

2. PATCHAM WARD AND STANFORD WARD - CHANGE OF NAME **1 - 6**

Extract from the Proceedings of the Governance Committee meeting held on the 17 November 2009, together with a report of the Chief Executive (copies attached).

COUNCIL

The City Council actively welcomes members of the public and the press to attend its meetings and holds as many of its meetings as possible in public. Provision is also made on the agendas for public questions to committees and details of how questions can be raised can be found on the website and/or on agendas for the meetings.

The closing date for receipt of public questions and deputations for the next meeting is 12 noon on the fifth working day before the meeting.

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website www.brighton-hove.gov.uk. Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Meeting papers can be provided, on request, in large print, in Braille, on audio tape or on disc, or translated into any other language as requested.

WEBCASTING NOTICE
This meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's website. At the start of the meeting the Chairman will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed.

You should be aware that the Council is a Data Controller under the Data Protection Act 1988. Data collected during this web cast will be retained in accordance with the Council's published policy (Guidance for Employees' on the BHCC website).

Therefore by entering the meeting room and using the seats around the meeting tables you are deemed to be consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for the purpose of web casting and/or Member training. If members of the public do not wish to have their image captured they should sit in the public gallery area.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the Head of Democratic Services or the designated Democratic Services Officer listed on the agenda.

For further details and general enquiries about this meeting contact Mark Wall, (01273 291006, email mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk) or email democratic.services@brighton-hove.gov.uk.

Date of Publication - Thursday, 21 January 2010



Chief Executive

King's House
Grand Avenue
Hove
BN3 2LS

COUNCIL	Agenda Item 2
28 January 2010	Brighton & Hove City Council

EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 17 NOVEMBER 2009

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

4.00PM 17 NOVEMBER 2009

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

MINUTES

Present: Councillors Oxley (Chairman), Simpson (Deputy Chairman), Elgood, Fallon-Khan, Kemble, Mears, Mitchell, Randall, Simson and Taylor

Also in attendance: Councillor Bennett and Dr Wilkinson (Chairman of the Standards Committee)

48. PATCHAM WARD AND STANFORD WARD - CHANGE OF NAME CONSULTATION

48.1 The Committee considered a report of the Chief Executive concerning proposals to change the names of Patcham Ward to Patcham and Hollingbury Ward, and Stanford Ward to Hove Park Ward (for copy see minute book).

48.2 The Chairman reported that, subject to the decision of the Committee, the report would go forward to Full Council on 28 January 2010. He explained that following on from the three recent consultations on ward name changes consideration would be given to how best to manage such requests in the future, including how a consultation would be triggered.

48.3 Councillor Kemble spoke on behalf of Councillor Brown, ward councillor for Stanford Ward, who was unable to attend the meeting. He commented that the consultation in relation to Stanford Ward was triggered by a petition signed by 21 people and that a relatively small majority of those who responded were in favour of the change; however, this represented only 7.2% of the total population of the ward. He put forward Councillor Brown's concern that a significant historical reference would be lost if the name change were to be agreed.

48.4 Councillor Fallon-Khan highlighted the potential cost implications of further ward name changes triggered in similar circumstances.

48.5 Councillors Randall and Elgood agreed that although the process was easily triggered, until it was reviewed, it was appropriate to follow the existing process utilised by residents and let the Full Council decide.

48.6 **RESOLVED** –

(1) That the results of the consultation be noted.

(2) That the Governance Committee recommends Council to give approval for the name of Stanford Ward to be changed to Hove Park Ward.

(3) That the Governance Committee recommends Council to agree that Patcham Ward retains its current name.

Council	Agenda Item 2
28 January 2010	Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject:		**Patcham Ward and Stanford Ward – Change of Name consultation**	
Date of Meeting:		**28 January 2009**	**Special Council**
		17 November 2009	Governance Committee
Report of:		**Chief Executive**	
Contact Officer:	**Name:**	**Paul Holloway**	**Tel: 29-2005**
	E-mail:	**paul.holloway@brighton-hove.gov.uk**	
Wards Affected:		**Patcham Ward; Stanford Ward**	

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

1. SUMMARY AND POLICY CONTEXT:

1.1 The Governance Committee meeting of 22 September 2009 approved a consultation exercise to explore changing the name of two council wards:

(i) the current Patcham Ward to become Patcham and Hollingbury Ward.

(ii) the current Stanford Ward to become Hove Park Ward

1.2 The proposal to change the name of the current Patcham Ward arose following the change of name in the Hollingdean and Stanmer Ward in November 2008.

1.3 The proposal to change the name of the current Stanford Ward arose when a petition signed by 21 residents was presented to Council on 4 December 2008.

1.4 A four week consultation period between 28 September and 26 October 2009, gave residents living in the two wards, the opportunity to register their support, or otherwise to the proposals.

2. RECOMMENDATION:

2.1 That the Governance Committee notes the result of the consultation.

2.2 That the Governance Committee recommends Council to give approval for the name of Stanford Ward to be changed to Hove Park Ward.

2.3 That the Governance Committee recommends Council to agree that Patcham Ward retains its current name.

3. RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION / CHRONOLOGY OF KEY EVENTS:

3.1 In November 2008 the Council approved the change of name for Hollingbury and Stanmer, to Hollingdean and Stanmer Ward. The loss of reference to Hollingbury resulted in local residents raising the need to continue to recognise Hollingbury as a district.

3.2 It was therefore proposed to put forward a change of Ward name as Patcham and Hollingbury, for consultation. This proposed name change accurately reflects there is no significant change to the current Patcham Ward, and also recognises that Patcham forms the greater part of the geographical area of the ward.

3.3 The proposal to re-name Stanford Ward to Hove Park Ward was put forward in a petition signed by 21 residents, to Council on 4 December 2008.

3.4 Governance Committee on 22 September 2009 approved a 4 week consultation exercise between 28 September and 26 October 2009 in each Ward and requested a report on the findings of the exercise to be submitted to the17 November Governance Committee meeting.

3.5 Any subsequent resolution to agree the proposed ward name changes, following the consultation exercise, must be passed at a specially convened meeting of Full Council, where two thirds of members voting need to support the proposal.

4. CONSULTATION

4.1 By law, a local authority may not pass a resolution to change the name of a ward unless it has taken reasonable steps to consult with such persons as it considers appropriate on the proposed new name(s).

4.2 Patcham and Stanford Ward Councillors, the council's main political groups, local community groups and organisations within the wards, together with the electorate, were consulted on the proposals in the following way:

- Notices in community magazines in both wards.
- Council Website survey question regarding the proposed changes
- Information on the Electoral Services website.
- Notices in libraries and community centres
- Notices in parks
- Publicity in The Argus

4.3 The results of the consultation exercise are detailed below together with information regarding the breakdown of responses received:

Table 1

Ward Name	Total number of responses	Number in favour of proposed change	Number against proposed change
Patcham	102	39	63
Stanford	576	307	269

Table 2

Ward name	Number of web responses	Number of emails to Electoral Services inbox	Number of other responses (petition and letters)
Patcham	76	6	20
Stanford	70	14	492

4.4 Ten additional signatures in support of the retention of Stanford Ward were also received just after the deadline for the consultation, but have not been included in the figures above.

4.5 In line with a previous Governance Committee report recommending the consultation exercise, this report has detailed the findings of the consultation and has made recommendations based on the findings, using the democratic approach of recommending in favour of the greatest number of responses.

4.6 If the Governance Committee accepts the recommendation of a change of Ward name in Stanford Ward, Members will be asked to make a decision at a specially convened meeting of Full Council on 10 December 2009.

4.7 The Council has at all times during this process remained in a neutral position, neither supporting nor opposing the proposed changes. It has simply invited the affected electorate to indicate their support or opposition to the proposals.

5. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Financial Implications

5.1 The costs for carrying out the consultation were £2,000. By accepting the recommendations within this report the Council will incur costs in the region of £1,000 to amend the current ward.

Finance Officer Consulted: Alasdair Ridley Date: 06/11/09

Legal Implications

5.2 The legal requirements set out in paragraphs 3.5 and 4.1 above are provided for in section 59 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.

5.3 By virtue of the Local Authorities (Functions and Responsibilities)(England) Regulations 2000, functions carried out under section 59 of the 2007 Act, which include the passing of a resolution to change the name of an electoral area, are reserved to Full Council.

Lawyer Consulted: Oliver Dixon Date: 6 November 2009

Equalities Implications

5.4 As this is just a change of name, which does not impact directly on any individual, an Equalities Impact Assessment has not been carried out.

Sustainability Implications

5.5 There are no implications.

Crime & Disorder Implications

5.6 There are no implications.

Risk and Opportunity Management Implications

5.7 There are no implications.

Corporate / Citywide Implications

5.8 The recommendations are in line with council priorities, specifically for open and effective city leadership.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices:

None

Documents in Members' Rooms

None

Background Documents

None